# Lecture 02

## **Introduction to Convex Programming**

### **Example Resource Allocation in Wireless Networks**



Wireless Interference Model. The dashed circles indicate the interference regions of the two destination nodes. Due to interference, only one node can transmit at a time. We have to schedule the fraction of time allocated to the two possible hops as well as the rate allocated to each flow.

## **Example Resource Allocation in Wireless Networks**

- In the previous slide, there are three flows in progress and two of the nodes act as destinations.
- Around each destination, we draw a circle that is called either the reception range or interference region, associated with that destination.
- A transmitter has to lie within the reception range of a receiver for the transmission to be successful.
- In addition, no other node in the interference region can transmit if the receiver is to receive the transmitted data successfully.
- Thus, if node 2 is to successfully receive data, either node 3 or node 1 may transmit, but not both at the same time.

## **Example Resource Allocation in Wireless Networks**

• We have to consider both the scheduling of the links to avoid interference as well as rate allocation to each flow.

We incorporate the interference constraint by introducing new variables which indicate the fraction of time that each link is scheduled.

- Let  $R_{ij}$  be the fraction of time that node *i* transmits to node *j*.
- Also, define the vector  $R = [R_{12}, R_{23}, R_{32}]^T$ .

Since nodes 1 and 2 cannot transmit simultaneously, we have  $R_{12} + R_{23} + R_{32} \leq 1$ .

Thus, the network utility maximization problem becomes



$$\max_{x} \sum_{i=0}^{2} U_{i}(x_{i}) \\
x_{0} + x_{1} \leq R_{12} \\
x_{0} \leq R_{23} \\
x_{2} \leq R_{32} \\
R_{12} + R_{23} + R_{32} \leq 1 \\
x, R \geq 0$$

#### Central concept: convexity

Historically, linear programs were the focus in optimization

Initially, it was thought that the important distinction was between linear and nonlinear optimization problems. But some nonlinear problems turned out to be much harder than others ...

Now it is widely recognized that the right distinction is between convex and nonconvex problems

Your supplementary textbooks for the course:

Boyd and Vandenberghe (2004)





#### Convex sets and functions

**Convex set**:  $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  such that

$$x, y \in C \implies tx + (1-t)y \in C \text{ for all } 0 \leq t \leq 1$$



Convex function:  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\operatorname{dom}(f) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  convex, and  $f(tx + (1-t)y) \leq tf(x) + (1-t)f(y)$  for  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ and all  $x, y \in \operatorname{dom}(f)$   In mathematics, a real-valued function defined on an n-dimensional interval is called convex if the line segment between any two points on the graph of the function lies above or on the graph.



#### Convex optimization problems

Optimization problem:

$$\min_{x \in D} f(x)$$
  
subject to  $g_i(x) \le 0, \ i = 1, \dots m$   
 $h_j(x) = 0, \ j = 1, \dots r$ 

Here  $D = \operatorname{dom}(f) \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^{m} \operatorname{dom}(g_i) \cap \bigcap_{j=1}^{r} \operatorname{dom}(h_j)$ , common domain of all the functions

This is a convex optimization problem provided the functions fand  $g_i, i = 1, ..., m$  are convex, and  $h_j, j = 1, ..., r$  are affine:

$$h_j(x) = a_j^T x + b_j, \quad j = 1, \dots r$$

#### Local minima are global minima

For convex optimization problems, local minima are global minima

Formally, if x is feasible— $x \in D$ , and satisfies all constraints—and minimizes f in a local neighborhood,

$$f(x) \leq f(y)$$
 for all feasible  $y$ ,  $||x - y||_2 \leq \rho$ ,

then

 $f(x) \leq f(y)$  for all feasible y



#### Theorem (Local minima are global minima)

For a convex optimization problem, if x is feasible and minimizes f in a local neighborhood,

 $f(x) \leq f(y)$  for all feasible  $y, ||x - y||_2 \leq \rho$ ,

then  $f(x) \leq f(y)$  for all feasible y.

**Proof:** Suppose  $\exists z \in D$  and is feasible such that f(z) < f(x).

According to the definition of local minima, we have  $||z - x||_2 > \rho$ .

We let y = tx + (1 - t)z, where  $0 \le t \le 1$ .

Because D is a convex set, according to its definition we also have  $y \in D$ .

Then for each i = 1, ..., m,

$$g_i(tx + (1-t)z) \le tg_i(x) + (1-t)g_i(z) \le 0.$$
 (1.1)

For each j = 1, ..., r,

$$h_i(tx + (1-t)z) = 0 (1.2)$$

From (1.1) and (1.2), we conclude y is also feasible.

If we let t large enough (close to 1 but less than 1) such that  $||x - y||_2 \le \rho$ , we obtain

$$f(y) = f(tx + (1 - t)z) \le tf(x) + (1 - t)f(z) < f(x),$$

which is contradictory to the local minima definition.

So by proof of contradiction, we conclude the local minima are also global minima.

Appendix I: Vector Norms

#### Norms

A **norm** of a vector ||x|| is informally a measure of the "length" of the vector. For example, we have the commonly-used Euclidean or  $\ell_2$  norm,

$$\|x\|_2 = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}.$$

Note that  $||x||_2^2 = x^T x$ .

More formally, a norm is any function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  that satisfies 4 properties:

1. For all 
$$x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$
,  $f(x) \ge 0$  (non-negativity).

- 2. f(x) = 0 if and only if x = 0 (definiteness).
- 3. For all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , f(tx) = |t|f(x) (homogeneity).

4. For all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $f(x+y) \leq f(x) + f(y)$  (triangle inequality).

#### Norms

Other examples of norms are the  $\ell_1$  norm,

$$|x||_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|$$

and the  $\ell_{\infty}$  norm,

$$\|x\|_{\infty} = \max_i |x_i|.$$

In fact, all three norms presented so far are examples of the family of  $\ell_p$  norms, which are parameterized by a real number  $p \ge 1$ , and defined as

$$||x||_p = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p\right)^{1/p}$$